	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	10/736,482	HYME, KENNETH R.		
	Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>	
	Bahart B. Bassia	2050		
	Robert R. Raevis	2856		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS	
1. \square This communication is responsive to <u>5-16-06</u> .				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-7</u> .				
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc			tion from the	
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Certified copies not received:				
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.				
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER reason(s) why the oath or declara	'S AMENDMENT or N tion is deficient.	OTICE OF	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		,		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or in the C	office action of		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	84(c)) should be written on the drawir ne header according to 37 CFR 1.121(ngs in the front (not the d).	back) of	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL. 				
Attachment(s)	5 🗖 भ 🐇 🗘			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	5. Notice of Informal P	, ,	<i>)</i> -152)	
_	Paper No./Mail Dat	6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No./Mail Date 	8), 7. Examiner's Amendo	nent/Comment		
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allo	wance	
•	9. Other	Roun		
		RAEVIS		
		- or them wither		

Application/Control Number: 10/736,482

Art Unit: 2856

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 2

Webster was not applied against claim 4, as the reference does not suggest both a "valve including a sleeve" and "valve against a valve seat within a cavity of a test apparatus". Webster's body 10 may provide for a "sleeve", or in the alternative, the body may provide for the "test apparatus" against which the valve seat; but the body may not be both a separate "sleeve" and "test apparatus" as claimed.

Webster was not applied against claim 6 for the reason immediately above.

Overdiek et al was not applied against claim 1 as the cavity 68 of body 60 does not "completely enclose" (line 7 of Applicant's claim 1) the relieve valve 10, especially when the only seal 13 between the cavity and valve would appear to be part of the valve itself. Overdiek does not employ a sealing closure in addition the to seal 13-including-valve 10. Overdiek was not applied against either of claims 4 or 6, as those claims call for a sealing "closure" in addition to the valve itself. Overdieks valve includes the seal 13. Overdiek does not employ a sealing closure in addition the to seal 13-including-valve 10.

RAFUIS